

HELCOM Monitoring Programme topic

Inputs

Programme:

Contaminant inputs from atmosphere

Contents

a.	Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes.....	2
a.1	Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body	2
a.2	Regional Cooperation	3
b.	Monitoring strategies	3
b.1	Descriptor	3
b.2	BSAP segments	4
b.3	Monitoring strategy description	4
b.4	BSAP Ecological objectives.....	4
b.5	MSFD Criteria (Criteria)	19
b.6	Gaps in monitoring	5
c.	Monitoring programmes	6
c.1	Purpose of monitoring	6
c.2	Other legislation.....	6
c.3	Implementation of Regional Cooperation	10
c.4	Monitoring concepts.....	10
c.5	Monitoring and assessment requirements	16
c.6	Data providers and access.....	16
d.	References	26

a. Metadata on monitoring strategies and monitoring programmes

a.1 Responsible HELCOM subsidiary body

Please indicate the relevant expert group/network if available, otherwise the responsible HELCOM Working Group.

Permanent Groups	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Gear – Group on the Implementation of the Ecosystem Approach
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maritime – Maritime Working Group
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pressure – Working Group on Reduction of Pressures from the Baltic Sea Catchment Area
<input type="checkbox"/>	Response – Response Working Group
<input type="checkbox"/>	State and Conservation – Working Group on the State of the Environmental and Nature Conservation
Time-limited Groups	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Agri – Group on Sustainable Agricultural Practices
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fish – Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries
<input type="checkbox"/>	HELCOM-VASAB MSP WG - Joint HELCOM-VASAB Maritime Spatial Planning Working Group
Expert Groups	
<input type="checkbox"/>	AIS EWG – Expert Working Group for Mutual Exchange and Deliveries of AIS data
<input type="checkbox"/>	EN Hazardous Substances – Expert Network on hazardous substances
<input type="checkbox"/>	EN Marine Litter – Expert Network on Marine Litter
<input type="checkbox"/>	EN Noise – Expert Network on Underwater Noise
<input type="checkbox"/>	ESA – Expert Network on Economic and Social Analyses
<input type="checkbox"/>	EWG OWR – Expert Working Group on Oiled Wildlife Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	EWG SHORE – Expert Working Group on Response on the Shore
<input type="checkbox"/>	Green Technology and Alternative Fuels Platform for Shipping
<input type="checkbox"/>	HELCOM/OSPAR TG BALLAST – Joint HELCOM/OSPAR Task Group on Ballast Management Convention Exemptions
<input type="checkbox"/>	IN Benthic habitat – Intersessional Network on habitat monitoring

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | IN-EUTROPHICATION - Intersessional Network on Eutrophication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | IWGAS – Informal Working Group on Aerial Surveillance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | JWG Bird – HELCOM-OSPAR-ICES Joint Working Group on Seabirds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | MORS EG – Expert group on monitoring of radioactive substances in the Baltic Sea |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PRF Cooperation Platform – Cooperation Platform on Port Reception Facilities in the Baltic Sea |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | SAFE NAV – Group of Experts on Safety of Navigation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | SUBMERGED – Expert Group on Environmental Risks of Hazardous Submerged Objects |

a.2 Regional Cooperation (Regional Cooperation)

The monitoring of this programme is:

- ☒ Fully coordinated
- ☐ Partly coordinated. Indicate missing component(s):
- ☐ Coordinated monitoring is under development. Indicate by which group/project and by when a recommendation on coordinated monitoring can be expected.

Common monitoring programme, modeling framework, quality assurance and database available from EMEP.
--

b. Monitoring strategies

b.1 Descriptor

The programme supports the following obligatory MSFD Monitoring Strategies. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> D1 | Biodiversity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D2 | Non-indigenous Species |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D3 | Commercial fish and shellfish |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D4 | Food webs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D5 | Eutrophication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D6 | Seafloor integrity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> D7 | Hydrographical conditions |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D8 | Contaminants |

- ☐ **D9** Contaminants in seafood
- ☐ **D10** Marine litter
- ☐ **D11** Energy including underwater noise

b.2 BSAP segments

The sub-programme serves the following BSAP segments. Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- ☐ Eutrophication
- ☒ Hazardous substances
- ☐ Biodiversity
- ☐ Maritime activities

b.3 Monitoring strategy description

Monitoring strategy :

Data on atmospheric deposition of hazardous substances is needed to assess the amount of contaminant input to the sea to allow for follow-up of effectiveness of implemented measures (under e.g. BSAP, Gothenburg Protocol, National Emission Ceiling Directive). Pressure data should also be useable for HELCOM holistic assessments (i.e. pressure index). Deposition data is calculated as total annual inputs per 0.1 * 0.1 degree grid (available via the EMEP website).

Annual total emissions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are officially reported every year to the UN ECE Secretariat by the HELCOM Contracting Parties and compiled by EMEP/MSC-E. Some HELCOM Contracting Parties additionally report the annual total emissions of PCBs, PAHs, HCB and As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn every year to the UN ECE Secretariat. The methodology for data collection is based on combination of emission measurements and emission estimates based on activity data and emission factors. The atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are calculated with the latest version of EMEP/MSC-Metal transport model.

The latest available official emission data for the HELCOM countries are used in the model computations. Both official data and expert estimates are used for modeling atmospheric transport and deposition of contaminants to the Baltic Sea. Atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes were computed for the entire EMEP domain, which includes Baltic Sea basin and catchment.

b.4 BSAP Ecological objectives

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Eutrophication	<input type="checkbox"/> Concentrations of nutrients close to natural levels <input type="checkbox"/> Clear water <input type="checkbox"/> Natural level of algal blooms <input type="checkbox"/> Natural distribution and occurrence of plants and animals <input type="checkbox"/> Natural oxygen levels
Hazardous substances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concentrations of hazardous substances close to natural levels <input type="checkbox"/> All fish safe to eat <input type="checkbox"/> Healthy wildlife <input type="checkbox"/> Radioactivity at pre-Chernobyl levels
Biodiversity	<input type="checkbox"/> Natural landscapes and seascapes <input type="checkbox"/> Thriving and balanced communities of plants and animals <input type="checkbox"/> Viable populations of species
Maritime activities	<input type="checkbox"/> No illegal pollution <input type="checkbox"/> Safe maritime traffic without accidental pollution <input type="checkbox"/> Efficient response capability <input type="checkbox"/> No introductions of alien species from ships <input type="checkbox"/> Minimum air pollution from ships <input type="checkbox"/> Zero discharges from offshore platforms

b.6 Gaps in monitoring

In relation to the GES criteria addressed, indicate when sufficient monitoring was in place or by when sufficient coverage will be in place ([Coverage_GEScriteria](#))

- ☐ Adequate monitoring was in place in 2014
- ☒ Adequate monitoring was in place by 2018
- ☐ Adequate monitoring is in place by July 2020
- ☐ Adequate monitoring will be in place by 2024
- ☐ Monitoring is not being put in place for this descriptor due to a low risk
- ☐ Monitoring for this descriptor is not relevant

Description of the implementation gaps and plans to complete the establishment and implementation of this descriptor monitoring strategy ([Gaps_Plans](#)):

Air emission and atmospheric deposition monitoring are coordinated by EMEP. Although there are rather many stations, not all of those are measuring all components. Also not all stations have long time series. Not all national monitoring stations are included in the list of "HELCOM stations" but could be used by EMEP. According to EMEP there are some problems with the representativeness of the stations that cause challenges when verifying the EMEP model results. Thorough analysis of the

monitoring data would improve the understanding of the development in the atmospheric deposition and also offer recommendations on how to improve and possibly expand monitoring.

c. Monitoring programmes

c.1 Purpose of monitoring

c.1a Assessment purpose in general

The programme supports the assessment of:

Tick the relevant box.

Temporal trends	Spatial distribution	State classification
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The **programme** supports the assessment of: (MonitoringPurpose).

Note that the answer to this question will be decisive for whether to answer upcoming questions e.g. upcoming questions on pressures should only be answered if the monitoring is defined as supporting the assessment of pressures.

Tick the relevant boxes.

Environmental state and impacts	Pressures in the marine environment	Pressures at source (land-based, riverine, sea-based and atmospheric sources)	Human activities causing the pressures	Effectiveness of measures
<input type="checkbox"/> If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1b	<input type="checkbox"/> If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	<input type="checkbox"/> If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d	<input type="checkbox"/> If this is selected fill in the following questions: c.1c, d

Give any other monitoring purpose e.g. if the programmes include supporting parameters for other monitoring programmes

For questions 1b-1d, select when applicable for the sub-programme, the link from the Reporting on the 2020 update of Article 11 for the Marine Strategy Framework Directive ([MSFD Guidance Document 17](#), 2020) (Features) to:

- Ecosystem components (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1a) for D1C2-C5, D3, D4, D6C3-C5, D7C2)
- Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1b) for D1C1, D2, D5, D6C1-C2, D7C1, D8, D9, D10, D11)
- Pressure inputs to the marine environment (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 10)
- Uses and human activities (relevant for monitoring and assessment for Article 8(1c) and 13)

c.1b • Ecosystem components (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Sub-theme	Label feature
Species	<input type="checkbox"/> Birds	<input type="checkbox"/> Grazing birds
		<input type="checkbox"/> Wading birds
		<input type="checkbox"/> Surface-feeding birds
		<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic-feeding birds
		<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic-feeding birds
	<input type="checkbox"/> Mammals	<input type="checkbox"/> Small toothed cetaceans
		<input type="checkbox"/> Deep-diving toothed cetaceans
		<input type="checkbox"/> Baleen whales
		<input type="checkbox"/> Seals
	<input type="checkbox"/> Reptiles	<input type="checkbox"/> Turtles
	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal fish
		<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic shelf fish
		<input type="checkbox"/> Demersal shelf fish
		<input type="checkbox"/> Deep-sea fish
		<input type="checkbox"/> Commercially exploited fish and shellfish
<input type="checkbox"/> Cephalopods	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal/shelf cephalopods	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Deep-sea cephalopods	
Habitats	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic habitats	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic broad habitats
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other benthic habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic habitats	<input type="checkbox"/> Pelagic broad habitats
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other pelagic habitats
Ecosystems	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical and hydrological characteristics	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Chemical characteristics	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Ecosystems, including food webs	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal ecosystems
		<input type="checkbox"/> Shelf ecosystems
<input type="checkbox"/> Oceanic/deep-sea ecosystems		

c.1c • Pressures and impacts in the marine environment (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Label: Feature

Biological	<input type="checkbox"/> Newly introduced non-indigenous species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Established non-indigenous species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Species affected by incidental by-catch
Physical and hydrological	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrographical changes
	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical disturbance to seabed
	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical loss of the seabed
Substances, litter and energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Eutrophication
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants - non UPBT substances
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants - UPBT substances
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contaminants – in seafood
	<input type="checkbox"/> Adverse effects on species or habitats
	<input type="checkbox"/> Acute pollution events
	<input type="checkbox"/> Litter in the environment
	<input type="checkbox"/> Impulsive sound in water
	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous low frequency sound

c.1d • Pressure inputs to the marine environment (Features)

Theme	Label: Feature
Biological	<input type="checkbox"/> Input or spread of non-indigenous species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of microbial pathogens
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of, or change to, natural biological communities due to cultivation of animal or plant species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Disturbance of species (e.g. where they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)
Substances, litter and energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of nutrients — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of organic matter — diffuse sources and point sources
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) — diffuse sources, point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Input of anthropogenic sound (impulsive, continuous)

☐ Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)

☐ Input of water — point sources (e.g. brine)

c.1e • Uses and human activities (Features)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Theme	Label: Feature
Physical restructuring of rivers, coastline or seabed (water management)	<input type="checkbox"/> Land claim
	<input type="checkbox"/> Canalisation and other watercourse modifications
	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal defence and flood protection
	<input type="checkbox"/> Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials
Extraction of non-living resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of salt
	<input type="checkbox"/> Extraction of water
Production of energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-renewable energy generation
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)
Extraction of living resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish and shellfish processing
	<input type="checkbox"/> Marine plant harvesting
	<input type="checkbox"/> Hunting and collecting for other purposes
Cultivation of living resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquaculture — marine, including infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquaculture — freshwater
	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture
	<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry
Transport	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport — shipping
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport — air
	<input type="checkbox"/> Transport — land
Urban and	<input type="checkbox"/> Urban uses

industrial uses	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial uses
	<input type="checkbox"/> Waste treatment and disposal
Tourism and leisure	<input type="checkbox"/> Tourism and leisure infrastructure
	<input type="checkbox"/> Tourism and leisure activities
Security/defence	<input type="checkbox"/> Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))
Education and research	<input type="checkbox"/> Research, survey and educational activities

c.2 Other legislation

The sub-programme links with the following other international legislation ([OtherPoliciesConventions](#)). Tick one or more relevant boxes.

- ☐ Bathing Water Directive
- ☐ Common Fisheries Policy and Data Collection Framework
- ☐ Habitats Directive
- ☐ Birds Directive
- ☐ Nitrates Directive
- ☐ Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
- ☐ Water Framework Directive
- ☐ OSPAR Convention
- ☐ Trilateral Wadden Sea Convention
- ☐ Other, Specify:

c.3 Implementation of Regional Cooperation ([RegionalCooperation_implementation](#))

Indicate the level of implementation by selecting one of the following:

- ☐ Agreed data collection methods
- ☐ Common monitoring strategy (spatial and temporal design of programme)
- ☐ Coordinated data collection (delivered separately by each country)
- ☒ Joint data collection (multinational delivery using same platform and/or algorithms)

c.4 Monitoring concepts

Monitoring concepts table:

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency ¹	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ²	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ³
	Elements (Features) (Features_enum)	Parameters (Parameters_other)	MonitoringMethod (MonitoringMethodOther)	(Free text)	MonitoringFrequency,	(ProgrammeDescription)	(RelatedIndicator) (RelatedIndicator_name)	(SpatialScope)	(TemporalScope)	(CountryCode_Enum)
Regional	PresInputCont (Input of other substances)	DEP (Deposition of Cd)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/public/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Monthly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)
Regional	PresInputCont (Input of other substances)	DEP (Deposition of Pb)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/public/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Monthly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)

¹ The option "Different for each country - see MORE overview" refers to the [overview](#) carried out in 2013

² Give the name of HELCOM core indicators that are based on the monitoring parameter.

³ Provide information on the Contracting Parties that are monitoring the parameter.

Current means of coordination	Features or elements	Parameter	Method	QA/QC	Frequency ¹	Spatial resolution (density) of sampling	Link to HELCOM core indicators ²	Spatial scope	Monitoring started (year)	CPs monitoring ³
Regional	PresInput Cont (Input of other substances)	DEP (Deposition of Hg)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/public/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Monthly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)
Regional	PresInput Cont (Input of other substances)	DEP (Deposition of dioxins/furans)	OTH (https://www.emep.int/public/helcom/2017/index.html)	Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP) in Vienna, Austria	Monthly	EMEP grid 0.1 x 0.1 degree grid		Beyond MS Marine Waters	1998	All HELCOM Contracting Parties (modelling covers whole drainage area)

PARAMETER

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

METHOD (MonitoringDetails)

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere
Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Annual total emissions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are officially reported every year to the UN ECE Secretariat by the HELCOM Contracting Parties and compiled by EMEP/MSC-E. The methodology for data collection is based on combination of emission measurements and emission estimates based on activity data and emission factors.

The atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are calculated with the latest version of EMEP/MSC-E Eulerian Heavy Metal transport model. The latest available official emission data for the HELCOM countries are used in the model computations. Both official data and expert estimates are used for modeling atmospheric transport and deposition of contaminants to the Baltic Sea. Atmospheric depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are computed for the entire EMEP domain, which includes Baltic Sea basin and catchment.

EMEP/MSC-E Eulerian Heavy Metal transport model MSCE-HM'is a multi-pollutant, three-dimensional Eulerian model which takes into account processes of emission, advection, turbulent diffusion, chemical transformations, wet and dry depositions and inflow of pollutants into the model domain. Complete description of the model and its applications is available on the [EMEP website](#). Calculations of atmospheric transport and depositions of Pb, Cd, Hg and Dioxines/Furanes are performed annually two years in arrears on the basis of emission data officially submitted by Parties to CLRTAP Convention and expert estimates.

QA/QC

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

There are gaps in time series of most national emissions which have to be corrected by experts. No official information about the uncertainty of provided contaminants emission data have been sent to EMEP from both EMEP and HELCOM Contracting Parties and hence further work on emission uncertainty is required. Submitted emissions data are passing through QA/QC procedure and stored in the EMEP Centre for Emission inventories and Projections (CEIP). There are gaps in time series of national emissions which have to be corrected by experts. The results of the MSC-E Eulerian Heavy Metal transport model (MSCE-HM) are routinely compared with available measurements at EMEP and HELCOM stations. The comparison of calculated versus measured data indicates that the model predicts the observed air concentrations of Cadmium, Lead and Mercury within the accuracy of approximately 70%, 40% and 10% respectively with measured concentrations. PCDD/Fs are not regularly measured by the EMEP monitoring network. Evaluation of modelling results on PCDD/Fs against measurements was performed in framework of the studies of EMEP region pollution by dioxins and furans (Shatalov et al., 2012; Gusev et al., 2013). For this purpose available measurements made by various national and international campaigns reported in literature were used. It was found that the agreement between calculated and measured total PCDD/F toxicities was within a factor of two for more than 50% of available measurements at background locations. More detailed information on the comparison of model estimates and observed PCDD/F concentrations can be found in the EMEP Status Reports (Shatalov et al., 2012; Gusev et al., 2013). Further work is required on reducing uncertainties in emission data and better parameterization of physical processes in the EMEP Unified model.

FREQUENCY

Frequency

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Annual

SPATIAL SCOPE

Spatial Scope

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

EMEP uses a 0.1×0.1 degree grid cell for calculation of deposition and input data are also aggregated using the PLC water sub-basin division to allow for harmonized HELCOM pollution load assessments covering both air- and waterborne inputs.

SPATIAL RESOLUTION (DENSITY) OF SAMPLING

Spatial resolution

Cd / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Pb / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Hg / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Dioxines / Furans / Input level of chemical/nutrient/pollutant from atmosphere

Data from monitoring stations are used to validate and calibrate the deposition model. The spatial resolution of monitoring data are so scarce that only 6-14 stations provide data of the concentrations in air and precipitation for Hg, Pb and Cd. Dioxines are not regularly measured by EMEP monitoring network.

Provide considerations for the scale of aggregation of data for an indicator-based assessment Tick one or more relevant boxes below:

- ☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 4: Subbasins with coastal WFD division
- ☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 3: Subbasins with coastal and offshore division
- ☐ HELCOM assessment unit Level 2: Subbasin
- ☒ HELCOM assessment unit Level 1: Baltic Sea
- ☐ MSFD Region
- ☐ EU
- ☒ Other (specify) HELCOM PLC Subbasins
- ☐ Unknown

c.5 Monitoring and assessment requirements

Monitoring requirements:

Data on atmospheric deposition of hazardous substances is needed to assess the amount of contaminant input to the sea to allow for follow-up of effectiveness of implemented measures (under e.g. BSAP). Pressure data should also be useable for HELCOM holistic assessments (i.e. pressure index). Deposition data is calculated as total annual inputs per 0.1 x 01 degree grid (available via the EMEP website).

Adequacy for assessment of GES:

Monitoring should provide adequate data and information to enable the periodic assessment of environmental status, and distance from and progress towards GES as required by MSFD under Article 9 and Article 11.

	Yes	No
Adequate data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Established methods for assessment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate understanding of GES?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequate capacity to perform assessments?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Assessment of natural variability

c.6 Data providers and access

From which database the data can be made available? Tick the relevant boxes below:

☐ HELCOM ☐ HELCOM PLC ☐ HELCOM MORS
COMBINE

☒ Other:

EMEP

If the previous answer is "Other" please fill in the next questions (In case the answer is a HELCOM database, the HELCOM Secretariat will do it)

Data type Tick the relevant boxes below:

☐ Unprocessed/raw Data

☒ Processed Data sets

☒ Data Products

☒ Modelled data

Data management: General description of data management ([DataManagement](#), Free text)

CEIP compiles emission data reported by Parties to the Convention and their import into the CEIP database. Based on the reported data, emission datasets (data products) are developed for modellers, i.e. develop gridded data of EMEP pollutants for EMEP area. The modelled data is used for calculation deposition to HELCOM PLC sub-basins.

What method/mechanism will be used to make the data available? Tick the relevant boxes below and provide location ([DataAccess](#)):

☐ Providing URL to view data:

☐ Providing URL to download data:

☐ Provide location of data in national data centre: [Click here](#) to enter text.

☒ Provide location of data in international data centre (e.g. RSC, ICES, EEA, EMODnet):
https://www.ceip.at/ms/ceip_home1/ceip_home/webdab_emepdatabase/emissions_emepmodels/

When will the data first become available? ([DataPublicationDate](#))

Enter the date of reporting, or even a past date if desired (MM/YYYY):

01/2019

How frequently are the data expected to be updated thereafter? Tick the relevant box below:

☐ Every 6 years

☐ Weekly

☐ Every 3 years

☐ Daily

☐ Every 2 years

☐ Hourly

☒ Yearly

☐ Continually

☐ 6-monthly

☐ One-off

☐ 3-monthly

☐ As needed

☐ Monthly

☐ Other (specify)

☐ 2-weekly

☐ Unknown

List providing contact points in the Contracting Parties

Has the data been used or is it planned to be used in HELCOM assessments? Tick the relevant box below:

☒ Yes

☐ No

Select if data is used in the following Baltic Sea Environment Fact Sheets (BSEF) Tick the relevant boxes below:

Biodiversity

- ☐ Abundance and distribution of marenzelleria species
- ☐ Abundance and distribution of Round goby
- ☐ Abundance and distribution of the Zebra mussel
- ☐ Biopollution level index
- ☐ Observed non-indigenous and cryptogenic species in the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Population development of Great Cormorant
- ☐ Population development of Sandwich Tern
- ☐ Population development of Southern Dunlin
- ☐ Population Development of White-tailed Sea Eagle
- ☐ Temporal development of Baltic coastal fish communities and key species

Eutrophication

- ☐ Bacterioplankton growth
- ☐ Chlorophyll-a concentrations, temporal variations and regional differences from satellite remote sensing
- ☐ Cyanobacteria biomass
- ☐ Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Cyanobacteria bloom index
- ☐ Impacts of invasive phytoplankton species on the Baltic Sea ecosystem in 1980-2008
- ☐ Nitrogen atmospheric deposition to the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Nitrogen emissions to the air in the Baltic Sea area
- ☐ Phytoplankton biomass and species succession
- ☐ Shifts in the Baltic Sea summer phytoplankton communities in 1992-2006
- ☐ Spatial distribution of the winter nutrient pool
- ☐ Unusual phytoplankton event

Hazardous substances

- ☒ Atmospheric deposition of heavy metals on the Baltic Sea
- ☒ Atmospheric deposition of PCDD/Fs on the Baltic Sea
- ☒ Atmospheric emissions of heavy metals in the Baltic Sea region

- ☒ Atmospheric emissions of PCDD/Fs in the Baltic Sea region
- ☐ Cesium-137 in Baltic Sea sediments
- ☐ Temporal trends in contaminants in Herring in the Baltic Sea in the period 1980-2010
- ☐ Emissions from Baltic Sea shipping
- ☐ Illegal discharges of oil in the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Liquid discharges of Cs-137, Sr-90 and Co-60 into the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Trace metal concentrations and trends in Baltic surface and deep waters

Hydrography

- ☐ Development of Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Hydrography and Oxygen in the Deep Basins
- ☐ Ice season
- ☐ Total and regional runoff to the Baltic Sea
- ☐ Water Exchange between the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, and conditions in the Deep Basins
- ☐ Wave climate in the Baltic Sea

c.7 MSFD Criteria (GES criteria)

Choose only the most relevant option(s). Tick one or more boxes below.

Descriptor 1	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The mortality rate per species from incidental by-catch is below levels which threaten the species, such that its long- term viability is ensured.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the mortality rate from incidental by-catch per species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The population abundance of the species is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures, such that its long-term viability is ensured.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of natural variation in population size and the mortality rates derived from D1C1, D8C4 and D10C4 and other relevant pressures. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these values shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Population values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C3 – Primary for commercially- exploited fish and cephalopods and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The population demographic characteristics (e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity, and survival rates) of the species are indicative of a healthy population which is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p>
--------------	--

	<p>Member States shall establish threshold values for specified characteristics of each species through regional or subregional cooperation, taking account of adverse effects on their health derived from D8C2, D8C4 and other relevant pressures.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C4 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV or V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The species distributional range and, where relevant, pattern is in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climatic conditions.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for each species through regional or subregional cooperation. For species covered by Directive 92/43/EEC, these shall be consistent with the Favourable Reference Range values established by the relevant Member States under Directive 92/43/EEC.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C5 – Primary for species covered by Annexes II, IV and V to Directive 92/43/EEC and secondary for other species:</p> <p>The habitat for the species has the necessary extent and condition to support the different stages in the life history of the species.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D1C6 – Primary</p> <p>The condition of the habitat type, including its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5 and 8, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 2	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The number of non-indigenous species which are newly introduced via human activity into the wild, per assessment period (6 years), measured from the reference year as reported for the initial assessment under Article 8(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC, is minimised and where possible reduced to zero.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold value for the number of new introductions of non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>Abundance and spatial distribution of established non-indigenous species, particularly of invasive species, contributing significantly to adverse effects on particular species groups or broad habitat types.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D2C3 – Secondary:</p> <p>Proportion of the species group or spatial extent of the broad habitat type which is adversely altered due to non-indigenous species, particularly invasive non-indigenous species.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the threshold values for the adverse alteration to species groups and broad habitat types due to non-indigenous species, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>

Descriptor 3	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C1 — Primary:</p> <p>The Fishing mortality rate of populations of commercially-exploited species is at or below levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C2 — Primary:</p> <p>The Spawning Stock Biomass of populations of commercially-exploited species are above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. Appropriate scientific bodies shall be consulted in accordance with Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D3C3 — Primary:</p> <p>The age and size distribution of individuals in the populations of commercially-exploited species is indicative of a healthy population. This shall include a high proportion of old/large individuals and limited adverse effects of exploitation on genetic diversity.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation for each population of species in accordance with scientific advice obtained pursuant to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.</p>
Descriptor 4	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C1 — Primary:</p> <p>The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C2 — Primary:</p> <p>The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D4C3 — Secondary (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary):</p> <p>Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 5	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C1 — Primary:</p> <p>Nutrient concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse eutrophication effects.</p>

	<p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C2 — Primary:</p> <p>Chlorophyll a concentrations are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (c) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (d) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The number, spatial extent and duration of harmful algal bloom events are not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C4 — Secondary:</p> <p>The photic limit (transparency) of the water column is not reduced, due to increases in suspended algae, to a level that indicates adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (e) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (f) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C5 — Primary (may be substituted by D5C8):</p> <p>The concentration of dissolved oxygen is not reduced, due to nutrient enrichment, to levels that indicate adverse effects on benthic habitats (including on associated biota and mobile species) or other eutrophication effects.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (g) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (h) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation. <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C6 — Secondary:</p> <p>The abundance of opportunistic macroalgae is not at levels that indicate adverse effects of nutrient enrichment.</p> <p>The threshold values are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;
--	--

	<p>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C7 — Secondary:</p> <p>The species composition and relative abundance or depth distribution of macrophyte communities achieve values that indicate there is no adverse effect due to nutrient enrichment including via a decrease in water transparency, as follows:</p> <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) should this criterion be relevant for waters beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D5C8 — Secondary: (except when used as a substitute for D5C5):</p> <p>The species composition and relative abundance of macrofaunal communities, achieve values that indicate that there is no adverse effect due to nutrient and organic enrichment, as follows:</p> <p>(a) in coastal waters, the values for benthic biological quality elements set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC;</p> <p>(b) beyond coastal waters, values consistent with those for coastal waters under Directive 2000/60/EC. Member States shall establish those values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 6	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C1 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of physical loss (permanent change) of the natural seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C2 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of physical disturbance pressures on the seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C3 – Primary:</p> <p>Spatial extent of each habitat type which is adversely affected, through change in its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. through changes in species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), by physical disturbance.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of physical disturbance, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C4 – Primary:</p> <p>The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.</p> <p>Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of habitat loss as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p>

	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D6C5 – Primary:</p> <p>The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for adverse effects on the condition of each habitat type, ensuring compatibility with related values set under Descriptors 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities. Member States shall establish the maximum allowable extent of those adverse effects as a proportion of the total natural extent of the habitat type, through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p>
Descriptor 7	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D7C1 – Secondary:</p> <p>Spatial extent and distribution of permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions (e.g. changes in wave action, currents, salinity, temperature) to the seabed and water column, associated in particular with physical loss(1) of the natural seabed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D7C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>Spatial extent of each benthic habitat type adversely affected (physical and hydrographical characteristics and associated biological communities) due to permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions.</p>
Descriptor 8	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D8C1 – Primary:</p> <p>Within coastal and territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants set out under point 1(a) of criteria elements, the values set in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC; (b) when contaminants under point (a) are measured in a matrix for which no value is set under Directive 2000/60/EC, the concentration of those contaminants in that matrix established by Member States through regional or subregional cooperation; (c) for additional contaminants selected under point 1(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations through regional or subregional cooperation, considering their application within and beyond coastal and territorial waters. <p>Beyond territorial waters, the concentrations of contaminants do not exceed the following threshold values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants selected under point 2(a) of criteria elements, the values as applicable within coastal and territorial waters; (b) for contaminants selected under point 2(b) of criteria elements, the concentrations for a specified matrix (water, sediment or biota) which may give rise to pollution effects. Member States shall establish these concentrations

	<p>through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C2 – Secondary:</p> <p>The health of species and the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance at locations of chronic pollution) are not adversely affected due to contaminants including cumulative and synergetic effects.</p> <p>Member States shall establish those adverse effects and their threshold values through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C3 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial extent and duration of significant acute pollution events are minimised.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D8C4 – Secondary (to be used when a significant acute pollution event has occurred):</p> <p>The adverse effects of significant acute pollution events on the health of species and on the condition of habitats (such as their species composition and relative abundance) are minimised and, where possible, eliminated.</p>
Descriptor 9	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D9C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The level of contaminants in edible tissues (muscle, liver, roe, flesh or other soft parts, as appropriate) of seafood (including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, echinoderms, seaweed and other marine plants) caught or harvested in the wild (excluding fin-fish from mariculture) does not exceed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) for contaminants listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, the maximum levels laid down in that Regulation, which are the threshold values for the purposes of this Decision; (b) for additional contaminants, not listed in Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, threshold values, which Member States shall establish through regional or subregional cooperation.

Descriptor 10	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The composition, amount and spatial distribution of litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and on the seabed, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C2 — Primary:</p> <p>The composition, amount and spatial distribution of micro-litter on the coastline, in the surface layer of the water column, and in seabed sediment, are at levels that do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C3 — Secondary:</p> <p>The amount of litter and micro-litter ingested by marine animals is at a level that does not adversely affect the health of the species concerned. Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through regional or subregional cooperation.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D10C4 — Secondary:</p> <p>The number of individuals of each species which are adversely affected due to litter, such as by entanglement, other types of injury or mortality, or health effects. Member States shall establish threshold values for the adverse effects of litter, through regional or subregional cooperation.</p>
Descriptor 11	<p><input type="checkbox"/> D11C1 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial distribution, temporal extent, and levels of anthropogenic impulsive sound sources do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> D11C2 – Primary:</p> <p>The spatial distribution, temporal extent and levels of anthropogenic continuous low-frequency sound do not exceed levels that adversely affect populations of marine animals.</p> <p>Member States shall establish threshold values for these levels through cooperation at Union level, taking into account regional or subregional specificities.</p>

d. References

Make a list of cited references and literature for further supportive information.

Bartnicki J., Gusev A., Aas W., Gauss M. and Eiof Jonson J. Atmospheric Supply of Nitrogen,

Cadmium, Mercury, Lead, and PCDD/Fs to the Baltic Sea in 2015.

<https://www.emep.int/publ/helcom/2017/index.html>

Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergstrom, R., Emberson, L.D., Fagerli, H., Flechard, C.R., Hayman, G.D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J.E., Jenkin, M.W., Nyri, A., Richter, C., Semeena, V.S., Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, A., and Wind, P., The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model technical description. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 7825-7865.